

				There were 44 total surveys received out of 550 homes in the Vista del Monte neighborhood. 2 surveys were submitted online with no responses checked. Specific street addresses have been omitted from this report to protect the anonymity of the respondents. The tally results are at the bottom of this summary. Results are being sent to our Ward 3 Council Office per their request.
street	current hrs	extended	no opinion	comments
Online Survey ballots				
E Glenn	x			priority should be given to residents nearest the park
N Goyette			x	New to the neighborhood.
E Hayhurst	x			1. If the park closes at 10:30, why keep burning the lights? All that does is send conflicting messages. 2. Tucson is (or was) supposed to be a "dark skies" city - every little bit helps... and simply light pollution in general.
N Ralph	x			DON'T WANT HOMELESS IN THE AREA
E Glenn	x			We held a family discussion and agreed that if we lived in a home with a backyard bordering the park, we would enjoy having a time to enjoy the night sky and a dark backyard without added light from the park. This decision was also made considering crime statistics do not show problems with criminal or nuisance activity.
E Blacklidge	x			I live one house east of the park. The current schedule appears to be working so why change it? I miss the live music events, there doesn't seem to be as many lately.
E Patricia	x			Makes the most sense.
E Blacklidge	x			No need to change what is working for the neighborhood.
N Desert	x			Since there does not seem to be a crime problem in night time hours, I feel that those that live on the edge of the park would appreciate the lack of extra lightling Thank You!

no address	x			In fairness to the people who live along the park, the lights should go off. I would use the park to walk my dog later at night if the lights were on, but the lights add to light pollution. I can continue to walk along the sidewalk. Thank you for your service to our neighborhood.
E Monte Vista	x			
E Monte Vista		x		Makes the park less attractive to undesirable nighttime activity and increase use-ability.
E Monte Vista			x	Since my home is not located on the perimeter of the park, I will defer to those who would be affected by this issue.
E Patricia	x			Lights on suggest the park is open and it shouldn't be. It is also disturbing to the people who live around the perimeter to have bright lights shining in their bedroom windows.
E Hayhurst			x	I think the residents living adjacent to the park should have the bigger say, since they are most impacted. I appreciate the efforts of our neighborhood association leaders to keep our neighborhood safe and clean. Thank you all.Thank you.
E Hayhurst	x			We don't need to invite people to the park when it's closed with lighting. Why waste taxpayers' dollars on the electricity? Thank you for all you do.
E Glenn	x			We live far enough from the park that we are not directly affected. It's our impression that the residents immediately around the park prefer the current lighting, and we essentially defer to them.
Glenn	x			save on cost of lighting after 11
E Patricia			x	I live a block a way from the park. The lights being on after 11:00 PM would not bother me at my address, but I would not presume to decide for my neighbors who might be bothered by the light. ALSO - I do not ever walk the path at park after dark anyway. I like that the association is using surveymonkey for items such as the walk path light issue. I can rarely make it to meetings so this is very convenient.
E Patricia	x			Lights all night shine into my bedroom, which is on the west side of my home, disturbing my sleep. My response should be weighted more than responses from residents who are not directly impacted or very near the park. Thank you
E Monte Vista	x			I live along the park and the extended hours bother me at home. I also think the lights invite activity as much as they may deter. Our crime issues are daytime, not nighttime. I also object for environmental and dark sky reasons. I do not see any reason to change from our historic use of the lights, dusk to park closing.

N Orchard	x			All night lighting is a nuisance to neighbors and wasteful. Problems in the park that is what the police are for. I believe survey is ridiculous, that is what leadership is for to make decisions not ask the public there opinion. We live under a constitutional republic not a demorcracy.
N Goyette	x			lights do not need to be on all night for a walking path when park closes at 10:30
<b>Mail in Ballots</b>				
E. Monte Vista	x			no one needs to walk after 11pm- just asking for trouble
E Monte Vista			x	do not use park
E Patricia		x		dusk to dawn for safety reasons
E Patricia	x			seems to be working
E Patricia		x		we think the park will be a bit safer at night if lights are on
E Monte Vista		x		Cuts down on park activity behind my wall. I notice when lights are on there is little activity. When they go out, cars start parking and activity goes well into the night, especially the summer time.
Desert ave	x	x		Our household has a split opinion. Arugument for current hours: I prefer natural lighting when outside, ie: stars, moon. Sensitive to light when sleeping, although good black out drapes would block light, but also makes house dark overall. Argument for extended hours: was neighborhood informed about dead body found in bathroom? In recent months i've noticed mor walk/drive through traffic and hear more dogs barking and definately hear more police helicopters patrolling the area. The one time I visited the park this year we noticed transient type people and markings on the playground equipment. Additional lighting would be good.
N Desert Ave	x			many homes too close to tolerate nitetime activities
N Venice		x		3 police report mentally ill homeless man intimidated me and 5 other people. He slept in park. Dead 45 yr old woman id found dead SE in May. I don't want to struggle or trip over a homeless person or dead person when it's dark at 6am
N Venice		x		safety
E Blacklidge		x		too much traffic through park

Desert ave			x	I do not use the McCormick Park. I do agree that items 1&2 above both have a good case to make! (referring to statements in survey: 1- proponents feel this makes the park less attractive to undesirable night time activity. 2- Opponents feel that lights attract activity and negatively impact many of the homes bordering the park.
N Goyette	x			I sympathize with opponents views
E Water ST			x	I do not use the walking path lights
N Ralph Ave	x			no need for lights to be on any later than 11pm- thank you
E. Blacklidge	x			lights off by 11pm
E Blacklidge	x			To not have people attracted to the park all night
E. Blacklidge	x			it seems to be a good compromise
N. Orchard			x	Currently I do not use the park. If the park does not have a late night-early morning crime problem, then why keep the lights on.
	x			reason # 5 (from survey statement- Police crime statistics for the area do not show a problem with criminal or nuisance activity after hours at the park)
Totals	current hrs	extended	no opinion	
mail 20 total)	10	6	4	
survey monkey (24 total) 2 left survey blank	18	1	3	
Grand total	28	7	7	